

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/867,174	05/29/2001		Robert H. Scheer	31083.05US2	6795
34018	7590	02/02/2005		EXAMINER	
GREENBE	RG TRA	URIG, LLP	GARG, YOGESH C		
77 WEST WACKER DRIVE SUITE 2500			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
CHICAGO,	CHICAGO, IL 60601-1732				
			DATE MAILED: 02/02/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Ø	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Advisory Action	09/867,174	SCHEER, ROBERT H.					
naviosity notion	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Yogesh C Garg	3625					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
THE REPLY FILED 18 January 2005 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avinal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this applica) a timely filed amendment whicl	ation. A proper reply to a hplaces the application in					
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]						
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailin b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this in o event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire ONLY, CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The ee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period ce under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of 2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offilimely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of 2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offilimely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of 2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked.	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF The date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply ce later than three months after the mai	g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension out of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or					
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFI	R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal o						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:						
(a) they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);					
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note be	pelow);						
(c) they are not deemed to place the application is issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or simplifying the					
(d) they present additional claims without canceli NOTE:	ing a corresponding number of f	inally rejected claims.					
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	tion(s):						
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed amendment					
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: Se		idered but does NOT place the					
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	to issues which were newly					
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we	· · · —						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:							
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>11-20</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
8.☐ The drawing correction filed on is a)☐ app	roved or b) disapproved by t	he Examiner.					
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement 10. Other:	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	Yogesh C Garg Primary Examiner Art Unit: 3625					

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03) Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The applicant argues (see remarks, pages 2-4) that the reference Shekhar does not disclose that a computer readable media having instructions which perform the step of constructing a plurality of alternative fulfillment plans in response to the receipt of an order for an item and instead publishes the plans for execution at a time prior to performing these operations. The examiner respectfully disagrees because the applicant has neither argued nor pointed out any errors in the text and figures, that is Figs. 1, 2, 5 and paragraphs 0031-0034, 0037, 0044, 0057-0061, 0082-0085 and 0209-0211 cited from the reference Shekhar by the examiner as anticipating claims 11-20. Paragraphs 0033-0034 and 0058 and Figs. 1-2 and 5 demonstrate that Shekhar uses a computer readbale media having instructions which perform the steps of constructing a plurality of alternative fulfilment plans in response to receipt of an order as presented on pages 4-5 of the Final action. The applicant has argued, see page 3 that the Office action at the bottom of page 5 acknowledged that Shekhar interacts with the the master planning tool kit at a time prior to a plan being selected .The examiner respectfully disagrees because the examiner has drawn attention to paragraphs 0057-0061 which refer to Fig.5 and Fig 5 shows that master planning tool kit is used subsequent to recipt of orders. In view of the foregoing, the rejection is to maintained.